

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/985,673	11/05/2001	Hartley Moyes	6240.241	4016	
Joseph W. Bere	7590 04/09/2007	EXAM	EXAMINER		
Liniak, Berenato, Longacre & White, LLC Suite 240 6550 Rock Spring Drive			NGUYEN	NGUYEN, CHI Q	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Bethesda, MD		3635			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/09/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/985,673	MOYES, HARTLEY		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Chi Q. Nguyen	3635		

Before the Filling of all Appear Brief	Examiner	Art Unit							
	Chi Q. Nguyen	3635							
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address									
THE REPLY FILED 12 March 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.									
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, aftice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.								
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.									
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).									
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
NOTICE OF APPEAL  2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	diance with 37 CEP 41 37 must be	filed within two month	ne of the date of						
filing the Notice of Appeal was filed on A bitel in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	e appeal. Since						
	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	0020100						
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further co</li> </ol>			ecause						
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo		TE Delowy,							
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in betappeal; and/or		educing or simplifying	the issues for						
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	jected claims.							
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).									
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).						
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		Cont. Clark and a second							
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		•							
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ill be entered and an e	explanation of						
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:									
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>18-20 and 23-41</u> .									
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:									
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE									
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	It before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affidate	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a						
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.									
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:									
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).								
13. ☑ Other: See Continuation Sheet.									
—	()	Cari D. Friedman							
	-	Can Di Friedman	miner						
4/3/07	Sup	ervisory Patent Exa Group 3600							
4/3/07		Clorh 2000							

Continuation of 13. Other: The subject matter newly added to claims 40-41: "an internal bond strength" never claimed before thus would raised new issues that would require further consideration and/or search.

Cari D. Friedman Supervisory Patent Examiner Group 3600